



ELLIOTT RESPONDS TO BHP'S 'DO NOTHING' APPROACH TO UNLOCKING SHAREHOLDER VALUE BY ENCOURAGING MANAGEMENT TO 'THINK BIG'

- **Revised proposal calls for a halt to chronic underperformance at BHP**
- **Reinforces shareholder support for review of entire petroleum strategy**
- **New approach on unification - BHP remaining incorporated in Australia**

(Hong Kong, May 16, 2017) – Elliott today responded to the directors of BHP Billiton's 'do nothing' approach to unlocking substantial additional value for its shareholders – reinforcing a call for shareholders worldwide to demand a halt to chronic underperformance at BHP.

The response follows BHP's swift dismissal of Elliott's comprehensive Shareholder Value Unlock Plan proposals published on April 10, 2017, which offered a range of solutions to increase value and improve capital returns to shareholders.

In documents released today, Elliott shows total shareholder returns at BHP have substantially underperformed Rio Tinto, a comparable portfolio, as well as the ASX 200, the FTSE 100 *and* the S&P 500 over the year to date and for the last two, three, four, five, six, seven and eight years. BHP's total shareholder returns from November 2008 to date have been 128% lower than Rio Tinto, its nearest peer.

Elliott has spent the past month seeking feedback on its initial proposals from Australian and global investors holding BHP shares worth tens of billions of US\$.

Calls to review entire petroleum strategy

In a subsequent letter and accompanying presentation provided to BHP today, Elliott reveals extremely broad and deep-rooted shareholder support for proactive steps to be taken by BHP management to review its petroleum business. Elliott is now calling for an in-depth, open and timely independent review of the petroleum business – with full disclosure of the review results.

BHP unification costs shown to be flawed

Elliott has also unpicked much of BHP's rationale for rejecting its Shareholder Value Unlock Plan proposals, exposing flawed and misleading claims from BHP management on the supposed costs of proposed unification measures, designed to defend an entrenched 'do nothing' approach. Elliott's analysis shows how BHP management has inflated the cost of unification by over US\$1bn – with Elliott maintaining that the unification cost is nearer US\$200m.

Shareholders want a renewed focus on capital returns

The firm also confirmed strong shareholder support for a renewed focus on capital returns – to instil greater discipline and to avoid further value-destructive acquisitions and projects.

Unification - BHP can stay incorporated in Australia

Shareholder feedback provided to Elliott has also shown strong support for the clear shareholder value benefits of unification.

Importantly, Elliott's response today offers a new approach as a solution to the regulatory concerns raised in recent public feedback on the unification ideas in Elliott's Shareholder Value Unlock Plan, as part of Elliott's call for BHP's management to work harder and more constructively for a unification solution. Under Elliott's revised proposal, BHP would stay incorporated in Australia, Australian headquartered and Australian tax resident, retaining full ASX and LSE listings, with ordinary shares listed on the ASX.

Moving forward – BHP needs to gain the trust of its owners

Elliott is making today's letter and presentation publicly available to encourage continued shareholder debate, and so that BHP can engage openly with all parties on a plan to unlock value for all shareholders.

Elliott has noted this week's launch of BHP's "Think Big" rebranding theme, as well as management's re-affirmed desire to gain the support and trust of its communities and stakeholders as one of the goals of the rebranding efforts. In this context, Elliott and other shareholders look forward to an end to BHP management's negative campaign on all the shareholder value related issues raised by Elliott – to be replaced with a constructive and transparent approach to address the chronic underperformance shareholders are suffering. Only then may current management gain the trust of BHP's owners.

The letter and presentation can be downloaded at www.fixingbhp.com

About Elliott:

Founded in 1977, Elliott manages two funds, Elliott Associates, L.P. and Elliott International, L.P., with assets under management totaling more than US\$32.7 billion.

Elliott's investors include pension plans, sovereign wealth funds, hospital and university endowments, charitable foundations, funds-of-funds, individuals and families, and employees of the firm.

With tens of millions of beneficiary stakeholders located on five continents, Elliott's primary focus is on risk control, stability, and steady growth of capital. With 40 years of experience, it is one of the oldest hedge funds under continuous management. Today, Elliott has offices in New York, London, Hong Kong and Tokyo and employs a staff of 410 people, including 145 investment professionals.

Elliott is a multi-strategy hedge fund, carrying out a diverse range of investment activities. Its strategies include actively managed equity investments in which Elliott's objectives include promoting shareholder value and good corporate governance for the benefit of all shareholders.

For further information, call:

New York

Elliott

Michael O'Looney
+1 (212) 478-2687

London

Camarco

Billy Clegg
+44(0) 20 3757 4983

Gordon Poole

+44(0) 20 3757 4997

Sydney

Honner

Michael Yiannakis
+61 481 754 366

Paul Cheal

+61 427 755 296

Office:

+61 2 8248 3700

May 16, 2017

www.fixingbhp.com

LETTER TO THE DIRECTORS OF EACH OF BHP BILLITON LIMITED (“LIMITED”) AND BHP BILLITON PLC (“PLC” AND TOGETHER WITH LIMITED, “BHP”)

Your attention is drawn to the important information which is set out in the Appendix to this letter. This letter and related materials are publicly available at www.fixingbhp.com

To the directors of BHP:

We are writing to you again on behalf of Elliott Associates, L.P. and Elliott International, L.P. (together, the “**Elliott Funds**”).

What other shareholders are saying on the Value Unlock Plan and BHP’s response

When we publish a letter, as we did on April 10, 2017 to outline our Value Unlock Plan, we receive numerous communications from shareholders and other stakeholders wishing to share their views and learn more about ours. Shareholders nearly always inquire about the progress of our dialogue with the company.

Today’s letter is in response to those numerous inquiries from other BHP owners and addresses for the benefit of both the directors and shareholders the key points made in conversations we have had since our last letter of April 10, 2017.

Our goal in sharing this information with the BHP board and our fellow shareholders is to facilitate BHP in finding the best path forward to address its underperformance and unlock optimal shareholder value from its first-class portfolio of assets.

These are the four key takeaways from our interactions with a significant quorum of other shareholders representing BHP shares worth tens of billions of US\$:

- (i) There is extremely broad and deep-rooted support for pro-active steps to be taken by management to achieve an optimal value outcome for BHP’s petroleum business following a formal open review;
- (ii) Management’s response to the Elliott proposals was inadequate – chronic underperformance at BHP means that current management owes shareholders a proper in-depth review and action to optimize shareholder value, rather than running a negative campaign without making its own positive and constructive proposals;
- (iii) Shareholders want a renewed focus on capital returns, optimally achieved via buybacks - to instill greater management discipline and avoid further value-destructive acquisitions and projects, whilst retaining an appropriately strong balance sheet; and
- (iv) Given the clear benefits of unifying the existing legacy dual-listed company (DLC) structure, management should look more constructively at all options to deliver unification.

We and no doubt many other shareholders would like to see these issues properly addressed in a positive way which benefits all shareholders.

Shareholders want an optimal value outcome for BHP's petroleum business

Our shareholder conversations have revealed extremely broad and deep-rooted support for proactive steps to be taken by management to achieve an optimal value outcome for BHP's petroleum business, following an in-depth, open and timely independent strategic review of that business, with full disclosure of the review results.

We recognize that there are a number of obvious possible solutions to unlock the latent value of BHP's petroleum business, including a sale or demerger of the US petroleum business and a sale or ASX listing for the Australian and other remaining petroleum assets. Our preferred approach is a full or partial demerger of the petroleum business, but in any event the logical next step to unlock optimal value from that business is the strategic review which shareholders have every right to expect.

Shareholders are concerned over management's response to the Elliott Value Unlock Plan

We and other shareholders are concerned that despite the clear signs that the market is receptive to a new strategy for BHP, current management seems intent on quieting the enthusiasm for BHP to dig deeper in tackling the obvious shareholder value enhancement opportunities which exist.

These concerns over management's response on key shareholder value issues are very understandable when set in the context of BHP's chronic underperformance, which has included some of the worst value-destructive moves ever seen in BHP's peer group, including:

- c.US\$23bn destroyed through the ill-fated foray into the US onshore petroleum sector;
- c.US\$8bn spent on petroleum exploration activities with no apparent value created; and
- c.US\$9bn destroyed in share buybacks made at inflated market prices

The scale of chronic underperformance at BHP is obvious on a number of measures. As just one example, BHP's total shareholder returns from November 2008 to date have been 128% lower than Rio Tinto, its nearest peer.

Unsurprisingly, we have seen a significant groundswell of dissatisfaction among shareholders, which is rooted in BHP's chronic underperformance, the fear of further large-scale value destruction, and an as-yet unmet need for constructive proposals from management on unlocking the true value of BHP's first-class portfolio of assets. As part of this, shareholders well understand that BHP is expected to generate significant excess free cashflow in the near future and that a renewed focus on capital returns is appropriate at this point, rather than further value-destructive acquisitions or projects.

Bearing in mind the scale of underperformance at BHP, it is rather incredible that just two days after our first letter, on April 12, 2017, BHP released a detailed response to the Elliott plan, in which it was quick to criticize, whilst failing to offer any new ideas to address BHP's chronic underperformance and obsolete corporate structure.

That wholly unconvincing attempted rebuttal missed the point – and we were struck that the constructive dialogue that we had witnessed in earlier engagements with management had been replaced by negativity and an apparent commitment to the status quo. We address in more detail certain specific issues with the BHP response arguments, in the presentation which we are publishing today – including management's wholly incorrect claims:

- of c.US\$1.1bn of phantom dual-listed company (DLC) unification costs for BHP – being the difference between what we analyze to be the real costs of unification, at c.US\$200m, compared to management's exaggerated figure of c.US\$1.3bn;
- that our conservative and illustrative post-unification BHP share price level would be a loss of value for Limited's shareholders – a criticism that ignores market precedent and the significant value unlock potential of our proposals; and
- that our capital returns proposals for BHP would be “mechanistic” – which is absolutely not the case. The truth is that our approach is designed to provide a key yardstick against which to measure all other potential uses of BHP's significant levels of surplus capital, to ensure disciplined and optimal capital allocation in the future, whilst also safeguarding a conservative balance sheet position

We were not alone in our disappointment with management's overly swift and flawed response. Shareholders and others shared our concerns that management had set a tone of retrenchment over serious engagement, rejecting rather than working constructively to address underperformance at BHP, and observing that there has been an unhelpful attempt to politicize and inflame a commercial debate.

Constructive solutions to unifying BHP's legacy DLC structure would show management's real commitment to value-driven simplification

Our proposal to unify BHP's DLC structure into a single listco¹ - still fully Australian-headquartered and fully Australian tax resident - continues to generate healthy debate. We understood from the start that unification requires BHP to cut through certain complexity – and that Australians in particular feel passionate about BHP remaining rooted in Australia. But we also understood the clear benefits to shareholders of tackling that complexity and solving this stubborn legacy DLC structural issue.

It is obvious to us that shareholders value the clear benefits of unifying BHP's legacy DLC:

- the enhancement of BHP's market value by c.US\$5bn as a result of the share price of unified BHP being in line with or better than Limited's share price (consistent with precedent unifications);

¹ The listco would, as we have always said, retain the current full listings on both ASX and the LSE.

- the ability to monetize franking credits more quickly and more efficiently via off-market buybacks. All shareholders can benefit from the incremental accretion and share demand resulting from more franking credits being released; and
- making acquisitions in return for newly issued BHP shares finally being possible – regardless of management’s bogus claims that BHP currently has “two acquisition currencies”, when in fact no acquisitions using BHP shares as consideration have ever occurred during the DLC period, despite there being deals where paying in shares rather than in cash would have been vastly preferable

Again, while a number of shareholders had questions about our unification proposal, what was very clear was that the vast majority agreed that the current DLC structure is obsolete, hinders the ability of BHP to reach its full potential, and creates a long-term trading mismatch between Limited and PLC shares.

We have listened carefully to all the feedback on DLC unification, including the regulatory sensitivities around the place of incorporation of a unified BHP, in relation to which we are confident that there is a solution to this point by way of the unified BHP listco being Australian-incorporated. That unified listco would remain fully Australian-headquartered and fully Australian tax resident, with a full ASX listing and a full LSE listing, per our original proposals – and all the benefits of unification as well as existing stock index inclusions can remain unaffected².

We therefore call on BHP’s management to work harder and more constructively to find a solution to the unification of BHP’s legacy DLC structure, rather than running a misconceived and negative campaign against dealing with this important value-driven simplification issue.

BHP’s current management owes shareholders a thorough review and “Bigger Thinking”

Elliott’s value-unlock proposals published on April 10, 2017 were designed to address BHP’s continued underperformance and obsolete corporate structure. We made our plan public to share our thinking with all BHP shareholders, to offer a path forward, and to urge management to fully and constructively engage on the issues.

We note this week’s launch of BHP’s “Think Big” rebranding theme, as well as management’s re-affirmed desire to gain the support and trust of its communities and stakeholders as one of the goals of the rebranding efforts. In that context, we and other shareholders look forward to an end to BHP management’s negative campaign on all the shareholder value related issues raised by Elliott – to be replaced with a constructive and transparent approach to address the chronic underperformance shareholders are suffering.

We believe that our proposals can unlock significant value for shareholders. We are also pragmatic and understand that debate often generates new and better ideas. We have listened to the feedback from shareholders. BHP’s current management should do the same. Only then may current management gain the trust of BHP’s owners.

² In the case of the nationality requirements for the UK FTSE indices, there are clear FTSE 100 examples of non-UK incorporated listcos with merged international businesses retaining UK FTSE index eligibility.

We and other BHP shareholders therefore await positive and constructive proposals from management, with appropriate oversight from the BHP board, to put BHP on the right path to reversing the company's significant underperformance, fixing the obsolete group structure, and unlocking significant value for shareholders - capitalizing on the opportunity offered by the existing debate on the Value Unlock Plan.

As an immediate first step, we call on management and the board to initiate an in-depth, open and truly independent review of BHP's petroleum business, to be overseen by a committee which includes management, shareholder representatives and outside experts, with full disclosure of all results on a timely basis.

Yours faithfully,

Elliott Advisors (HK) Limited

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

This letter is provided solely by Elliott Advisors (HK) Limited (“Elliott”). Many of the statements in this letter are the opinions, interpretations and/or beliefs of Elliott which are based on its own analysis of publicly available information. Elliott is expressing those opinions, interpretations and beliefs solely in its capacity as an investment adviser to the Elliott Funds. Any statement or opinion expressed or implied in this letter is provided in good faith but only on the basis that no investment decision(s) will be made based on, or other reliance will be placed on, any of the contents herein by others. Nothing in this letter, the enclosed presentation or in any related materials is a statement of or indicates or implies any specific or probable value outcome for BHP’s shareholders in any particular circumstance. Certain statements and opinions expressed or implied in this letter are necessarily based on or involve assumptions, because not all information on BHP is publically available. If any of these assumptions are incorrect, it could cause our statements and/or opinions to differ materially.

The Elliott Funds and/or any of their respective affiliates (i) may at any time in the future, without notice to any person (other than as required under, or in compliance with, applicable laws and regulations), increase or reduce their holdings of any BHP entity’s shares or other equity or debt securities and/or may at any time have long, short, neutral or no economic or other exposure in respect of any BHP entity’s shares or other equity or debt securities; and/or (ii) may now have and/or at any time in the future, without notice to any person (other than as required under, or in compliance with, applicable laws and regulations), may establish, increase and/or decrease long or short positions in respect of or related to any BHP entity’s shares or other equity or debt securities, in each case irrespective of whether or not all or any part of the Value Unlock Plan is, or is expected to be, implemented. As a result of its arrangements with the Elliott Funds and/or their affiliates, Elliott has a financial interest in the profitability of the Elliott Funds’ positions in or relating to BHP.

This letter is published solely for informational purposes and is not, and should not be construed as, investment, financial, legal, tax or other advice or recommendations. This letter is not intended to be and does not constitute or contain any investment recommendation as defined by Regulation (EU) No 596/2014. No information in this letter should be construed as recommending or suggesting an investment strategy.

This letter has been compiled based on publicly available information (which has not been separately verified by the Elliott Funds, Elliott, or any of their respective affiliates) and does not:

- (i) purport to be complete or comprehensive; or
- (ii) constitute an agreement, offer, a solicitation of an offer, or any advice or recommendation to enter into or conclude any transaction or take or refrain from taking any other course of action (whether on the terms shown herein or otherwise).

The market data contained in or utilized for the purposes of preparing this letter is (unless otherwise specified) as at the end of trading hours on May 12, 2017. Changes may have occurred or may occur with respect to such market data and neither the Elliott Funds, nor Elliott, nor any of their respective affiliates is under any obligation to provide any updated or additional information or to correct any inaccuracies in this letter.

The information in this letter contains ‘forward-looking statements.’ Specific forward-looking

statements can be identified by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts and include, without limitation, words such as “may”, “can”, “will”, “expects”, “believes”, “anticipates”, “plans”, “estimates”, “projects”, “targets”, “forecasts”, “seeks”, “could”, “would” or the negative of such terms or other variations on such terms or comparable terminology. Similarly, statements that describe any objectives, plans or goals of the Elliott Funds and/or Elliott and/or their respective affiliates are forward-looking. Any forward-looking statements are based on the current intent, belief, expectations, estimates and projections of Elliott. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve risks, uncertainties, assumptions and other factors that are difficult to predict and that could cause actual results to differ materially. Accordingly, you should not rely upon forward-looking statements as a prediction of actual results and actual results may vary materially from what is expressed in or indicated by the forward-looking statements.

No representation or warranty, either expressed or implied, is provided in relation to the accuracy, completeness or reliability of the information contained herein, nor is it intended to be a complete statement or summary of the securities, markets or developments referred to herein. It should not be regarded by recipients as a substitute for the exercise of their own judgment. You should obtain your own professional advice and conduct your own independent evaluation with respect to the subject matter herein. The information contained herein has been made available on the basis that the recipient is a person into whose possession such information may be lawfully delivered in accordance with the laws of the jurisdiction in which the recipient is located.

Each of the Elliott Funds, Elliott, and their respective affiliates expressly disclaims any responsibility or liability for any loss howsoever arising from any use of, or reliance on, this letter or its contents as a whole or in part by any person, or otherwise howsoever arising in connection with this letter.